

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/385,802 | 08/30/1999 | KEVIN REMINGTON JOSEPH BARTHOLOMEN DONOVAN | 4031/1 | 9671 | |
| 22440 7 | 590 03/03/2003 | | | | |
| GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 270 MADISOR 8TH FLOOR | 270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR | | | JOHNSON, MARLON B | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 100160601 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2153 | , <u></u> | |
| • | | | DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicati n N . | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/385,802 | BARTHOLOMEN DO | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Marlon Johnson | 2153 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ars on the c ver sheet with the c | orrespondenc addi | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | <u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. | on. See MPEP | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one cellater than three months after the mail | unt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final (| opriate extension Office action; or | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 January 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | | rth in | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: . | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | on(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed a | amendment | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: see | | dered but does NOT | Γ place the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | newly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | nd an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Examir | ner. | | |
| 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | | - | | | |
| 10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet | , p (2), | | ; | | |
| STATE OF THE STATE | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 10. Other: Because the arguments are not persuasive. The response to the final rejection fails to provide any new arguments over the applicant's pr_vious argument's in the response filed 7/12/02, therefore, the same arguments are pplied here as applied in the final office action.

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100